

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/662,937	937 09/15/2000		Naoaki Kitagawa	PM 273286	1661	
909	7590	05/07/2003				
PILLSBU	RY WINT	THROP, LLP	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX MCLEAN,		)2		UHLIR, NII	UHLIR, NIKOLAS J	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1773	40	
				DATE MAILED: 05/07/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A)			<u> </u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/662,937	KITAGAWA ET AL.	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nikolas J. Uhlir	1773	<u> </u>
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 30 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	woid abandonment of this application in the same application and the same application appl	ation. A proper reply h places the applicat	to a tion in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing dai b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. S R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final C	n. See MPEP  priate extension priate extension Office action; or
<ul> <li>(2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37</li> <li>1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant'</li> </ul>	CFR 1.704(b).		uon, even n
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
<ul><li>(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sim	plifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims	i <b>.</b>
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	imendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: _		dered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: none.			
Claim(s) objected to: none.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3, 9, 11-17, 20-23, 30, 32-38</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examin	er.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. ☐ Other:			

Paul Thibodeau Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700

Application No. 09/662,937

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment to all of the instant independent claims to require that the "thin metal film have a homogenous composition throughout a whole thickness of said thin metal film and having a color tone similar to pure chrome" is a new limitation that was not earlier presented in the examination of the instant application. Such a limitation requires further search and consideration to determine patentability..